

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Nikhil Saraf, Advocate,

s/o Vinod Kumar Saraf,

51-52, Kansal Enclave, Near Kansal Village,

District Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Secretary,

Department of Transport, Punjab

Transport-2 Branch, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Complaint Case No. 24 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Nikhil Saraf (Complainant) 98726-32670

Simranpreet Kaur, APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99884-44535

Gurpal Singh, APIO-Cum-Superintendent (for the Respondent) 99885-44550

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.3.2020)

1. The complainant, **Nikhil Saraf**, filed this RTI application dated **8.11.19** and sought information **regarding officials permitted to use red/blue beacons on their official Vehicles,** from the PIO o/o **Secretary, Department of Transport, Punjab, Chandigarh**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on 27.12.2019. The case was last heard on 5.3.2020.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Simranpreet Kaur, APIO-cum-Senior Assistant from the office of Secretary Transport Punjab, and Gurpal Singh, APIO-Cum-Superintendent from the office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, have submitted a reply which does not address the information requested in the RTI application.

3. This Commission herewith directs the respondent PIO, office of Secretary State Transport Department, Punjab to submit a Notarized Affidavit precisely stating whether any official/officer of the Punjab Government is permitted to use Red/Blue light on the roof of his/her official vehicle. This Affidavit must be submitted in original to the complainant Nikhil Saraf along with one copy to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Angoori Rani,

W/o Late Sh. Hem Raj,

2650, Ward No. 12, New No. 263, Sector 8, Serve No. B020-01536,

Near Dushehra Ground, Kharar, District Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Officer,

Nagar Palika, Kharar.

Complaint Case No. 98 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Parmod Kumar (for the Complainant) 99149-22650

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Angoori Rani**, filed this RTI application dated **29.11.2019** and sought information **by way of copies of letters dated 1.8.2016 and 24.1.2017 and action taken on the said letters** from the PIO o/o **Executive Officer, Nagar Palika, Kharar**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **23.1.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **12.6.2020**.

2. The respondent PIO is absent without any intimation. Under the circumstances, the Commission has no option but to adjourn this complaint case for further hearing with a strict direction to the respondent to be present in person at the next hearing.

3. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jaspreet Singh Sidhu,
S/o Sh. Naunihal Singh Sidhu,
41, Sector-2, Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Secretary General,
Punjab Olympic Association, Punjab Olympic Bhawan,
Sports Complex, Sector-63, Phase-9, S.A.S. Nagar.

Complaint Case No. 148 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Jaspreet Singh Sidhu (Complainant) 95929-00005
(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Jaspreet Singh Sidhu**, filed this RTI application dated **30.11.2019** and sought information **regarding the Punjab Olympic Association**, from the PIO o/o **Secretary General, Punjab Olympic Association**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **3.2.2020**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **12.6.2020**.
2. The respondent is absent without any intimation. The Commission notes that the office of the Secretary General, Punjab Olympic Association, has consistently refused to accept this Commission's notices/orders without bothering to assign any reasons.
3. This Commission directs the respondent to make a written submission on or before the next hearing of this complaint case, failing which, steps will be initiated to ensure his/her presence, if need be, through the service of a Bailable Warrant as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
4. The complainant is meanwhile, requested to furnish any documents/evidence get to demonstrate that Punjab Olympic Association is substantially funded by the Government.
5. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sonia Singh,

W/o Sh. Mukhtiar Shadab,

38, Ground Floor, Green Field Homes,

Krishan Pura, Dhakoli, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Mohali.

Complaint Case No. 943 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 10.1.2020 and 26.2.2020)

1. The complainant, **Sonia Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **14.8.19** and sought Information **by way of a certified copy of Complaint No. 3881 dated 21.11.18 and copy of complaint under DDR Number 192/5D dated 25.7.18 at PS Dhakoli etc.**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **29.10.19**. The case was last heard on **26.2.2020**.

2. Both parties are absent. Under the circumstances, the Commission has no option but to adjourn the case for further hearing.

3. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Amandeep Singh Rehal (Advocate),

s/o Raghbir Singh,

100, Phase VI, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Inspector General of Police IT & Telecommunications,

Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Additional Director General of Police, IT & Telecommunications,

Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 263 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Amandeep Singh Rehal (Appellant) 97531-00003

Parmod Kumar, ASI (for the Respondent) 95929-02013

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 6.3.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **10.6.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding benefits to ex-servicemen vide order dated: 13.11.14** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **6.3.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by ASI, Parmod Kumar, contended that the information requested by the appellant is complete in all respects and contained in the order of Punjab Government already supplied to him. The appellant, however, insists that the respondent has failed to give pointwise reply of his RTI application.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to cite the relevant portions of the Government order already supplied to the appellant where information pertaining to point A to D of his RTI application is given.

4. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Bhupinder Singh,
3142 B, Sector 39-D,
Chandigarh

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director National Health Mission, Punjab,
Sector- 38, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Director, Health and Family Welfare,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 683 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT :

Bhupinder Singh (Appellant) 81466-60492.

Harjot Singh, APIO-Cum-H.R. Coordinator (for the Respondent) 99155-43005.

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 6.3.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **1.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding letter No. E9 (1Punjab 2019 – 1815 dated: 30.5.2019 of Director Health Services (Family & Welfare)**, as enumerated in his RTI application. Filed earlier as a complaint under Section 18, this case was remanded back to the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **13.9.19**, after the complainant Bhupinder Singh stated that his primary objective was to get the information he requested in his RTI application. He filed the first appeal on **7.10.19**. The case was last heard on **6.3.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Harjot Singh, APIO-cum-HR Coordinator, arrived late, but has brought an Affidavit, as directed by the Commission at the last hearing on 24.1.2020. However, since the appellant has already left, the respondent is directed to send the Affidavit by Registered Post to the appellant and e-mail a copy of the same to this Commission.

3. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Krishan Kumar Singla,

195/2, Sector 45-A,

Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Department of General Administration, (Secretariat, Establishment-1 Branch),

Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Department of General Administration, (Secretariat, Establishment-1 Branch),

Punjab Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3899 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Simarpreet Chahal, APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99884-44535

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 30.1.2020)

1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.9.2019** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **30.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation to this Commission. The respondent PIO represented by Simarpreet Chahal, APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant, contended that the information pertaining to points 1 and 2 of the RTI application are still under consideration of the government and hence cannot be given.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a reply, detailing reasons for not furnishing the requested information in writing to the appellant as well as to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 20.8.2020 at 11.00 am through Video Conference Facility in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Mohali.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

12.6.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sahil Kaushal,

s/o Ram Krishan,

Quarter No. 4, Police Station Sadar, Kurali,

District Mohali – 140 103

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Director General of Police,

Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Director General of Police,

Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4016 of 2019 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT :

Sahil Kaushal (Appellant) 94783-24326

Amritpat Singh, Clerk (for the Respondent) 89683-07113

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 27.12.19 and 19.2.2019)

1. The RTI application is dated **14.1.19** vide which the appellant has sought information **in regard to certificates of 'Wards of Police Personnel' category** as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.2.19**, and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **19.2.2019**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Amritpal Singh, Clerk, submitted that the requested information was sent to the appellant on 19.2.2020 with a copy to this Commission.

3. This Commission is of the view that the appellant's requested information has been adequately addressed and sees no further cause for action. This appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

12.6.2020